

Homework 2

Due: February 13, 2025 (11:59 PM)

Consider the following definition of a 1-out-of-2 oblivious transfer protocol. Then answer the questions below:

Definition 1 (Two-Message Semi-Honest OT) A two-message 1-out-of-2 oblivious transfer between a receiver R and a sender S is defined by a tuple of 3 PPT algorithms $(\text{OT}_R, \text{OT}_S, \text{OT}_{\text{out}})$. The OT protocol works as follows (let λ be the security parameter):

1. **Receiver:** The receiver computes $(\text{msg}_R, \rho) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R(1^\lambda, b)$, where $b \in \{0, 1\}$ is the receiver's input. It sends msg_R to the sender.
2. **Sender:** The sender computes $\text{msg}_S \leftarrow \text{OT}_S(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R, (m_0, m_1))$, where $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^*$ are the sender's input. The sender sends msg_S to the receiver.
3. **Receiver's Output:** The receiver computes $m_b \leftarrow \text{OT}_{\text{out}}(\rho, \text{msg}_S)$.

This protocol satisfies the following properties:

- **Correctness:** For each $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^*$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, it holds that

$$\Pr \left[\begin{array}{c} (\rho, \text{msg}_R) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R(1^\lambda, b) \\ \text{msg}_S \leftarrow \text{OT}_S(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R, (m_0, m_1)) \end{array} \middle| \text{OT}_{\text{out}}(\rho, \text{msg}_R, \text{msg}_S) = m_b \right] = 1,$$

- **Security against Semi-Honest Sender:** It holds that,

$$\left\{ (\text{msg}_R^0, \rho^0) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R(1^\lambda, 0) \mid \text{msg}_R^0 \right\} \approx_c \left\{ (\text{msg}_R^1, \rho^1) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R(1^\lambda, 1) \mid \text{msg}_R^1 \right\}$$

- **Security against Semi-Honest Receiver:** It holds that for each $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $m_0, m_1, m'_0, m'_1 \in \{0, 1\}^*$, and $m_b = m'_b$,

$$\left\{ \text{OT}_S(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R, (m_0, m_1)) \right\} \approx_c \left\{ \text{OT}_S(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R, (m'_0, m'_1)) \right\}$$

where $(\text{msg}_R, \rho) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R(1^\lambda, b)$.

1 On the Equivalence of Definitions

Prove that an oblivious transfer protocol $\pi = (\text{OT}_R, \text{OT}_S, \text{OT}_{\text{out}})$ that satisfies Definition 1 also meets the simulator-based definition of semi-honest secure 1-out-of-2 OT discussed in class.

2 1-out-of-4 Oblivious Transfer

Let $(\text{OT}_R, \text{OT}_S, \text{OT}_{\text{out}})$ be a semi-honest secure, two message, 1-out-of-2 oblivious transfer protocol that satisfies Definition 1. Now consider the following $(\text{OT}_R^*, \text{OT}_S^*, \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^*)$ construction of a 1-out-of-4 oblivious transfer protocol:

1. $(\text{msg}_R^*, \rho^*) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R^*(1^\lambda, b)$: Let $b \in [4]$ be the receiver's input. For each $i \in [4]$, the receiver computes the following:

$$\text{if } b = i, (\text{msg}_R^i, \rho^i) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R(1^\lambda, 1); \text{ else, } (\text{msg}_R^i, \rho^i) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R(1^\lambda, 0).$$

Finally, the receiver sets $\text{msg}_R^* = (\{\text{msg}_R^i\}_{i \in [4]})$, $\rho^* = (\{\rho^i\}_{i \in [4]})$ and sends msg_R^* to the sender.

2. $\text{msg}_S^* \leftarrow \text{OT}_S^*(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R^*, (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4))$: Let $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \in \{0, 1\}^*$ be the sender's inputs. The sender parses $\text{msg}_R^* = (\{\text{msg}_R^i\}_{i \in [4]})$. For each $i \in [4]$, the sender computes the following:

$$\text{msg}_S^i \leftarrow \text{OT}_S(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R^i, (0, m_i)).$$

Finally, the sender sets $\text{msg}_S^* = (\{\text{msg}_S^i\}_{i \in [4]})$ and sends msg_S^* to the receiver.

3. $m_b \leftarrow \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^*(\rho^*, \text{msg}_S^*)$: The receiver parses $\rho^* = (\{\rho^i\}_{i \in [4]})$ and $\text{msg}_S^* = (\{\text{msg}_S^i\}_{i \in [4]})$. Finally, it computes and outputs $m_b \leftarrow \text{OT}_{\text{out}}(\rho^b, \text{msg}_S^b)$.

Prove that the above construction $(\text{OT}_R^*, \text{OT}_S^*, \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^*)$ is that of a semi-honest secure 1-out-of-4 oblivious transfer protocol. (Note that you need to argue correctness and security against a semi-honest sender and receiver.)

3 OT Combiner

Let $(\text{OT}_R^1, \text{OT}_S^1, \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^1)$ and $(\text{OT}_R^2, \text{OT}_S^2, \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^2)$ be two message, 1-out-of-2 oblivious transfer (OT) protocols, both satisfying correctness and security against a semi-honest sender. However, **only one of them is guaranteed to be secure against a semi-honest receiver.** Now, consider the following new construction $(\text{OT}_R^*, \text{OT}_S^*, \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^*)$ of a two-message oblivious transfer protocol:

- $(\text{msg}_R^*, \rho^*) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R^*(1^\lambda, b)$: Let $b \in \{0, 1\}$ be the receiver's input. The receiver computes $(\text{msg}_R^1, \rho^1) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R^1(1^\lambda, b)$ and $(\text{msg}_R^2, \rho^2) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R^2(1^\lambda, b)$. Finally, the receiver sets $\text{msg}_R^* = (\text{msg}_R^1, \text{msg}_R^2)$, $\rho^* = (\rho^1, \rho^2)$ and sends msg_R^* to the sender.
- $\text{msg}_S^* \leftarrow \text{OT}_S^*(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R^*, (m_0, m_1))$: Let $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^*$ be the sender's inputs. The sender parses $\text{msg}_R^* = (\text{msg}_R^1, \text{msg}_R^2)$ and randomly samples $m_0^1, m_0^2, m_1^1, m_1^2 \in \{0, 1\}^*$, such that $m_0^1 \oplus m_0^2 = m_0$ and $m_1^1 \oplus m_1^2 = m_1$. The sender then computes $\text{msg}_S^1 \leftarrow \text{OT}_S^1(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R^1, (m_0^1, m_1^1))$ and $\text{msg}_S^2 \leftarrow \text{OT}_S^2(1^\lambda, \text{msg}_R^2, (m_0^2, m_1^2))$. Finally, the sender sets $\text{msg}_S^* = (\text{msg}_S^1, \text{msg}_S^2)$ and sends msg_S^* to the receiver.
- $m_b \leftarrow \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^*(\rho^*, \text{msg}_S^*)$: The receiver parses $\rho^* = (\rho^1, \rho^2)$ and $\text{msg}_S^* = (\text{msg}_S^1, \text{msg}_S^2)$. The receiver then computes $m_b^1 \leftarrow \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^1(\rho^1, \text{msg}_S^1)$ and $m_b^2 \leftarrow \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^2(\rho^2, \text{msg}_S^2)$. Finally, the receiver outputs $m_b = m_b^1 \oplus m_b^2$.

Prove that the above construction $(\text{OT}_R^*, \text{OT}_S^*, \text{OT}_{\text{out}}^*)$ is a 1-out-of-2 oblivious transfer that is secure against a semi-honest receiver. (Note that you **DO NOT** need to show that this protocol is also secure against a semi-honest sender.)

4 Public-Key Encryption

Recall the following definition of a public-key encryption:

Definition 2 (IND-CPA Secure Public-Key Encryption) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, a CPA-secure public-key encryption comprises of a tuple of PPT algorithms $(\text{KeyGen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ defined as follows:

- $(\text{pk}, \text{sk}) \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda)$: The key generation algorithm takes the security parameter 1^λ as input and outputs a public-key pk and a secret-key sk .
- $ct \leftarrow \text{Enc}(\text{pk}, m; r)$: The encryption algorithm takes as input, the public-key pk , a message $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and a random string $r \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$, and outputs a ciphertext ct .
- $m \leftarrow \text{Dec}(\text{sk}, ct)$: The decryption algorithm takes as input the secret-key sk and a ciphertext ct , and outputs a message m .

These algorithms satisfy the following:

1. **Correctness:** Let $(\text{pk}, \text{sk}) \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda)$, then $\forall m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and uniformly sampled $r \leftarrow_{\$} \{0, 1\}^\lambda$, it holds that:

$$\Pr [m \leftarrow \text{Dec}(\text{sk}, \text{Enc}(\text{pk}, m; r))] = 1$$

2. **IND-CPA Security:** Let $(\text{pk}, \text{sk}) \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda)$, then $\forall m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^*$, the following two distributions are computationally indistinguishable:

$$\left\{ \text{Enc}(\text{pk}, m_0; r); r \leftarrow_{\$} \{0, 1\}^\lambda \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \text{Enc}(\text{pk}, m_1; r); r \leftarrow_{\$} \{0, 1\}^\lambda \right\}$$

Let $(\text{OT}_R, \text{OT}_S, \text{OT}_{\text{out}})$ be a semi-honest secure two message 1-out-of-2 oblivious transfer protocol that satisfies Definition 1. Now consider the following construction of a public-key encryption:

- $\text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda)$: Compute $(\text{msg}_R, \rho) \leftarrow \text{OT}_R(1^\lambda, 0)$. Set $\text{pk} = \text{msg}_R$ and $\text{sk} = \rho$. Output (pk, sk) .
- $\text{Enc}(\text{pk}, m)$: Compute $\text{msg}_S \leftarrow \text{OT}_S(1^\lambda, \text{pk}, (m, m))$ and set $ct = \text{msg}_S$. Output ciphertext ct .
- $\text{Dec}(\text{sk}, ct)$: Compute and output $m \leftarrow \text{OT}_{\text{out}}(\text{sk}, ct)$.

Prove that the above is an IND-CPA secure public-key encryption scheme, i.e., it satisfies Definition 2.