CS65500: Advanced Cryptography

Instructor: Aarushi Goel

Homework 4

Due: March 26; 2025 (11:59 PM)

1 Semi-Honest Secure Multiparty Computation

1. (20 Points) Let Alice and Bob be two parties with private inputs $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}$, respectively. They wish to determine whether their inputs are equal, i.e., whether a = b, while ensuring that neither party learns any additional information about the other's input.

Design a semi-honest secure two-party computation protocol that outputs 1 to both parties when a = b, and 0 otherwise. **Prove that your protocol is secure.** Specifically, formally show that if $a \neq b$, a semi-honest **PPT** Alice should not learn any information about b, and a semi-honest **PPT** Bob should not learn any information about a.

(Hint: Consider using a semi-honest secure 1-out-of-2 Oblivious Transfer (OT) protocol.)

2. (20 Points) Let P_1, \ldots, P_n be *n* parties, where at most t < n/2 are semi-honest. Suppose that a trusted party computes and distributes (t, n) Shamir Secret Shares of a random value $r \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{F}$ to these parties. Later, these parties hold (t, n)-Shamir secret shares of a value $x \in \mathbb{F}$, meaning each party P_i possesses a share x_i , forming a valid (t, n) secret sharing of x. They wish to determine whether these are shares of x = 0.

Design a semi-honest, statistically secure multiparty computation protocol that outputs 1 to all parties if x = 0 and 0 otherwise. **Prove that your protocol is secure.** In particular, formally show that if $x \neq 0$, any **semi-honest unbounded adversary** corrupting at most t < n/2 parties does not learn any information about x.

(Hint: This is essentially a multiparty analog of the previous problem.)

2 Semi-Malicious Security

(10 Points) Thus far, we have discussed two types of adversaries: semi-honest and malicious. Now, consider a new type of adversary, which we call a semi-malicious adversary. In this corruption model, the adversary follows the protocol honestly, except when choosing randomness, which may be arbitrary.

Consider the 1-out-of-2 semi-honest oblivious transfer protocol discussed in class. Show an attack demonstrating that this protocol is not secure against a semi-malicious receiver.

3 Zero-Knowledge Proofs

(15 Points) Assume that $BPP \neq NP$. Show that there exist non-trivial NP languages for which non-interactive proofs cannot exist if they must satisfy both: zero-knowledge, and efficient verification. Here, non-interactive means that the prover sends a single-shot proof to the verifier, without requiring any additional interaction or prior trusted setup.

4 Beaver Triples

(15 Points) Recall that a Beaver triple is a tuple (a, b, c), where $a, b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{F}$, $c = a \cdot b$, and each party receives a secret share of a, b, and c (and the actual values a, b, c remain hidden from all parties). As discussed in class, Beaver triples enable communication-efficient secure multiparty computation (MPC). In class, we had assumed that these triples were generated by a "trusted entity" at the start of the protocol.

In this problem, we will explore a secure method to generate Beaver triples without relying on a trusted entity. For simplicity, we focus on the **two-party setting** and generate Beaver triples over the **binary field** \mathbb{Z}_2 . Throughout this problem, assume that Alice and Bob are **semi-honest**.

Show how Alice and Bob can securely generate a Beaver triple using Yao's garbledcircuit-based two-party protocol. Your construction should not modify the internal details of Yao's protocol (in fact, any secure two-party computation protocol could be used here). Then, provide an informal argument explaining why your protocol is both correct and secure.

(**Hint:** To apply Yao's protocol, define a two-party functionality f that Alice and Bob will compute jointly. Consider letting Alice's inputs to f be her shares (a_1, b_1, c_1) of the Beaver triple, which she samples uniformly at random at the beginning of the protocol.)